

Decoding Literal Equations by Undressing the Process

Yoel Rodríguez

Department of Natural Sciences, Hostos Community College of CUNY

Allison Gorr

Department of Mathematics, Hostos Community College of CUNY

June 3, 2019 – CCRP Grant

Many students find calculus-based physics to be a very difficult subject. There is no question that it really is! However, if student preparation could be improved before they face the challenges that accompany an introductory calculus-based physics course, this perception of difficulty could be lessened. To this end, we would like to improve student understanding of literal equations by addressing this prerequisite topic during the first week of class and continuing to reinforce it throughout the remainder of the course. Understanding this concept is essential for success in any undergraduate physics course.¹ We will use the steps of the *Decoding the Disciplines* model to decode the process of solving literal equations (Pace and Middendorf, 2004).

Step 1. What is the Bottleneck to Learning in this Class?

An introductory calculus-based physics course requires students to be proficient with solving literal equations (symbolic equations) to better understand physical quantities and concepts. Students often struggle to manipulate literal equations to solve for specific variables (which represent particular physical quantities), and, more importantly, to translate the mathematics into and out of science. In general, most students can handle literal equations involving just one or two variables (along with numbers). When the literal equation includes several variables, however, students struggle to solve for and isolate the designated variable. Many problems presented during a physics course are stated just in terms of variables so students are required to provide their answers in terms of variables.

Since many students still struggle with literal equations at the beginning of their physics courses, this struggle becomes a bottleneck, jeopardizing the development of other problem-solving skills (such as abstract analysis and visualization), their understanding of important physics concepts (such as dimensional analysis), and their ability to successfully complete the course. Successful completion of a calculus-based physics course is required for many Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) majors, so the stakes are high.

¹ We focus this discussion on Dr. Rodríguez's calculus-based course, General Physics I, but most of the ideas about teaching literal equations would apply to other mathematics and science courses as well.

Step 2. How Does an Expert Do These Things?

When confronting a literal equation, the expert begins by keeping the goal in mind, which is to isolate the designated variable, and understands that variables could be thought of as just placeholders for numbers. The expert then follows a proper sequence of steps while being careful to complete all mathematical operations correctly. This takes both patience and fortitude. If one idea does not work, he or she tries something else.

More specifically, the expert will often begin by underlining or circling the desired variable on the paper (or blackboard). He or she then relies on a multitude of mathematical competencies developed over years of experience. In **Table 1**, we list several of these mathematical competencies that the expert has already mastered. For example, the expert knows how to use parentheses correctly. In **Table 1**, we use specific examples to illustrate the level of student understanding (unacceptable versus developing), compared to what an expert would do with the particular example. Proficiency with these competencies and other algebraic skills is critical for success.

In addition to the competencies listed in **Table 1**, the expert also understands that he or she should proceed by “undoing” the various mathematical operations in an appropriate order until the desired variable has been successfully isolated. Experts will, for example, (a) undo addition with subtraction and vice versa, (b) undo multiplication with division and vice versa, (c) undo grouping symbols, and (d) undo exponents and radicals.

Overall, an expert is careful when writing equations and all intermediate steps. He or she knows that neatness and organization matter. Clarity in writing will help him or her to better visualize various types of problems.

Step 3. How Can These Tasks Be Explicitly Modeled?

We can model the “undo” concept by using an analogy. Consider what happens when a person dresses and undresses: to dress, one first puts on their t-shirt and then their shirt. To undress, one does the reverse (takes off their shirt and then their t-shirt). This is analogous to what happens when working with literal equations. A literal equation has already been “dressed” with variables, operation symbols, grouping symbols, and so on. To solve the equation for a specific variable, one needs to “undress” the equation step by step. This means to undo operations (*i.e.*, undo addition and subtraction, undo multiplication and division, undo grouping symbols, and undo exponents and radicals) until the desired variable has been isolated.

Once students understand this “undo” concept, the instructor can demonstrate problems on the whiteboard (or blackboard) to reinforce these ideas. The instructor should emphasize both proper sequence and proper use of algebraic operations (as described in **Table 1**). Students can then be given worksheets of examples so they can practice, with instructor guidance, beginning with simple literal equations and then moving on to increasingly complex problems. Physics students should be encouraged to express their final answers in terms of variables and then substitute appropriate numerical values into the equations. Practice with real-world examples, for which such solving and substitution would have intuitive meaning, will help students to better understand literal equations and the underlying physics concepts.

Table 1 Decoding Expert Thinking

Competencies	Unacceptable	Developing	Proficient
Using Parentheses Appropriately	The exponent is not applied to the entire term within the parentheses. $(2xy)^2 \neq 2xy^2$	Closer to correct. $(2xy)^2 \neq 2x^2y^2$	The exponent is applied correctly. $(2xy)^2 = 4x^2y^2$
Using the Distributive Property of Multiplication Correctly	The outside number is not distributed to every term within the parentheses. $2(2x^2 + 5x + 3) \neq 4x^2 + 5x + 3$	Closer to correct. $2(2x^2 + 5x + 3) \neq 4x^2 + 10x + 3$	The outside number is distributed correctly. $2(2x^2 + 5x + 3) = 4x^2 + 10x + 6$
Avoiding Exponent Issues	The exponent is simply distributed over the parentheses in which terms are added. $(x^2 + y^2)^2 \neq x^4 + y^4$	Closer to correct. $(x^2 + y^2)^2 \neq x^4 + x^2y^2 + y^4$	Exponent is distributed correctly. $(x^2 + y^2)^2 = x^4 + 2x^2y^2 + y^4$
Avoiding Simplification Issues	The denominator is not divided into every term of the numerator correctly. $\frac{2x^4 + 3x^2 - x}{x} \neq 2x^3 + 3x^2$	Closer to correct. $\frac{2x^4 + 3x^2 - x}{x} \neq 2x^3 + 3x$	The denominator is divided into the numerator correctly. $\frac{2x^4 + 3x^2 - x}{x} = 2x^3 + 3x - 1$
Writing Fractions Clearly	Fractions are not written clearly and consistently in all cases. $1/2x$ vs. $1/(2x)$ $a + b/c + d$ vs. $(a + b)/(c + d)$	Able to write simple cases correctly. Understand: $1/2x$ means $\frac{1}{2}x$ and not $\frac{1}{2x}$	Able to write all cases correctly. Know: $a + b/c + d = a + \frac{b}{c} + d$ Know: $(a + b)/(c + d) = \frac{a + b}{c + d}$
Writing Variables Clearly	Subscript and/or superscript notation is used inappropriately. Write x_5 instead of x_s Write x_2 instead of x^2	Often able to use subscript and superscript notation correctly.	Always able to use subscript and superscript notation correctly. Use x_5 when want to label a variable. Use x^2 when want to square a variable.

Table 2 includes some examples of literal equations relevant to specific physics problems. Each literal equation could be solved for any of the variables included in the equation (see below). As these physics topics come up in the curriculum, the instructor can model how to solve the equations for other variables.

This type of explicit modeling can be implemented throughout the course with every topic that involves literal equations. This will help students better understand the physics behind the phenomena contained within a particular problem.

Table 2 Examples of Literal Equations Used in Physics Problems

Difficulty	Phenomenon Topic	Literal Equation	Where
Easy	Motion of a particle in one dimension. Used to determine the <u>distance</u> traveled by the particle between initial and final positions.	$s = vt$	$v, s,$ and t represent speed, distance, and time, respectively.
Medium	Motion of a particle on an inclined plane with kinetic friction. Used to determine the <u>normal force</u> and the <u>acceleration</u> of the particle sliding down the inclined plane.	$n = mg \cos \alpha$ $a_x = g(\sin \alpha - \mu_k \cos \alpha)$	$n, m, g,$ and α represent the normal force, the mass of the particle, the acceleration due to gravity and the angle of the inclined plane, respectively. $a_x, \mu_k, g,$ and α represent the acceleration of the particle, the coefficient of kinetic friction between the particle and the inclined plane surface, the acceleration due to gravity, and the angle of the inclined plane, respectively.
Challenge	Motion of a crate by pulling it upward with a rope at an angle α with respect to the horizontal. Used to determine the <u>tension in the rope</u> so the crate moves with <i>constant velocity</i> .	$T = \frac{\mu_k mg}{(\cos \alpha + \mu_k \sin \alpha)}$	$T, \mu_k, m, g,$ and α represent the tension force on the rope, the coefficient of kinetic friction between the crate and the surface, the mass of the crate, the acceleration due to gravity, and the angle of the inclined plane, respectively.

Step 4. How Will Students Practice These Skills and Get Feedback?

Students will practice solving literal equations through instructor-led demonstrations, class discussion, group worksheets, and individual assignments (see **Appendix I**). All problems will be connected to the appropriate physics concepts and gradually increase in difficulty. Feedback will come in written form through the grading of assignments and in verbal form through class discussion.

Professor Rodríguez provides a detailed example below of how the study of projectile motion can be an excellent way for students to practice solving literal equations in a physics context. The exercise incorporates all six levels of Bloom's taxonomy, an important framework for focusing on higher-order thinking skills. He plans to include this projectile motion exercise in his calculus-based physics course next semester (see **Table 3** for a visual aid and **Appendix II** for details about the physics equations actually used in the exercise).


The main steps involved in the exercise are listed below:

1. How could you describe physically and mathematically the motion of a ball? What do you think affects the motion of a ball? (Bloom's: Describing, Identifying, Reproducing)
2. Provide equation(s) to describe the ball's motion. (Bloom's: Identifying, Describing, Applying)
3. Relate variables to the phenomena. (Bloom's: Translating, Identifying, Analyzing)
4. Practice by generating different situations. Solve literal equations for different physical quantities. How do variables interact? Order of Operations: 'undo' operations to solve for different physical quantities. (Bloom's: Evaluating)
5. Side-visit with solving quadratic equations. (Bloom's: Evaluating)
6. Graph your results. (Bloom's: Creating, Evaluating)
7. Test extremes. Exploring equation effects. (Bloom's: Applying, Evaluating)
8. Interpret your results. (Bloom's: Translating, Evaluating, Collecting, Explaining)

We want students to see that successfully solving literal equations is an integral part of understanding important concepts. The skill does not just exist in isolation, separate from their other mathematical and scientific pursuits.

**Table 3 Using Free Falling and Projectile Motions to Understand Literal Equations
From One Dimension to Two Dimensions**

Teacher: Prof. Rodríguez Course: PHY 210 Grade: _____ Unit: Chapters 2 and 3 Timeframe: 3 hours



Step 5. What Will Motivate the Students?

Students in a calculus-based physics course are usually STEM majors, who can already appreciate the need to further develop their mathematical skills. We can add to this foundation through class discussion of additional applications of literal equations in the careers they plan to pursue. We can also emphasize the need for patience and persistence. Another possibility is to share the interesting videos that were part of the Collaborative Curriculum Revision Project (CCRP) program. We refer specifically to Austin’s Butterfly video and the two growth-versus-fixed mindset videos.^{2,3}

To prepare for these ongoing motivational discussions, it is useful to know the skill level and the educational mindset each student already has. To assess these items, we plan to give a three-part assessment on the first day of class. The assessment will include a series of questions about students’ class expectations (and emotional bottlenecks), their current skill-levels pertaining to literal equations, and their learning orientations (*i.e.*, growth or fixed mindset, identified through a mindset quiz) (see **Tables AIII.1 and AIII.2 in Appendix III**).

When students feel frustrated, we can offer encouragement and useful feedback to motivate them to do their best. All praise should encourage a growth mindset, rather than a fixed mindset. We want students to see that their abilities and intelligence can be developed over time with effort and persistence. Some useful statements are listed below:

- You’re on the right track - you just need to be more careful with [insert specific error].
- It’s easier to remember the rules and steps if your writing is more neat and organized.
- Let’s try some more medium level problems, before tackling the harder ones.
- You’re doing much better! I’m confident you’ll get the next one right.
- Great job! You must have worked really hard. Let’s try a more challenging problem.
- I like how you tried all kinds of strategies until you got it right.
- It seems like you are not ready for this topic YET, but you WILL be able to do it.
- Keep working hard, but more efficiently.
- Keep in mind these three important words in learning: Understanding, Visualizing and Practicing.

² Austin’s Butterfly video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dOSiU42P8Gc>, April 2019

Growth mindset video 1: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KUWn_TJTrnU, April 2019

Growth mindset video 2: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J-swZaKN2Ic>, April 2019

³ In her book and videos, researcher Carol Dweck defines both the growth mindset and the fixed mindset. In a fixed mindset, people believe their intelligence and talents are fixed traits that cannot change. In a growth mindset, people believe their intelligence and talents can grow with effort and persistence. See the growth mindset videos identified in the previous footnote (2).

Step 6. How Well are the Students Mastering These Learning Tasks?

We will begin applying our strategy to decode literal equations during the next academic year. We expect this strategy to help students better understand and solve literal equations. In addition to class discussion, we intend to use the following types of assessments to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the strategy. We are prepared to modify and adapt our strategy as outcomes suggest.

1. Formative assessments

We will give these assessments throughout the course to provide quick feedback to both the instructor and the students. These assessments will show the instructor which ideas may need to be reviewed before moving on to new topics. For example, we can use “pop quizzes” (*i.e.*, short tests given without prior warning during the first 5 minutes of class) to check if students understand the previous lesson. We can then utilize *Peer Instruction* and *Just-in-Time Teaching* approaches to correct deficiencies and aid student learning during the rest of the class (Lasry, Mazur and Watkins, 2008, and Formica, Easley, and Spraker, 2010).

2. Summative assessments

We will administer these assessments in the middle and at the end of the semester to measure student knowledge of course content and to highlight cases of significant improvement. We plan for these assessments to be the midterm exam and the final exam.

The implementation and assessment of this decoding strategy will provide useful feedback for everyone involved. We hope to help students become better critical thinkers and more emotionally prepared to face the challenges of their disciplines as they move forward in their academic and personal lives.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the Collaborative Curriculum Revision Project (CCRP)’s 2018-19 cohort for the fruitful discussions pertaining to this initiative. We are also grateful to Elizabeth Wilson, Gretel Johnson, Claire Riccardi, and other CCRP staff for this opportunity. Thank you all!

References

Diehl, E. Classroom 2.0 - Motivating Students with Mindset Coaching and How Brains Work (Dweck), <http://www.classroom20.com/forum/topics/motivating-students-with>, April 2019

Formica, S. P., Easley, J. L. and Spraker, M. C. "Transforming Common-Sense Beliefs into Newtonian Thinking through Just-In-Time Teaching." *Physical Review Special Topics –Physics Education Research* 6.2 (2010): 020106 1-7. Print.

Lasry, N., Mazur, E. and Watkins, J. "Peer Instruction: From Harvard to Community Colleges." *American Journal of Physics* 76.11 (2008): 1066-1069. Print.

Mazur, E. *Peer Instruction: A User's Manual*. 1997. Prentice Hall. New Jersey.

Pace, D. and Middendorf, J. (Editors). "Decoding the Disciplines: Helping Students Learn Disciplinary Ways of Thinking." *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. No. 98 Summer 2004.

Riccardi, C. Table Format Developed by Claire Riccardi based on work by Joan Middendorf and Leah Shopkow (see **Table 3**); edited and designed by Sade Ortiz-Lucin, Benjamin Lorr, and Savanna Honerkamp-Smith of Eskolta School Research and Design.

Shipley, B. University of Illinois at Chicago,
<http://homepages.math.uic.edu/~bshipley/MindsetQuiz.w.scores.pdf>, April 2019

Young, H. D. and Freedman, R. A. *Sears and Zemansky's University Physics*. 14th Edition. 2016. Pearson, New York. Print.